

## AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

Examiner requires the "locking means for securely holding said light source means in place" (Claim 17 now new dependent Claim 24, dependent on Independent Claim 21) to be shown. Although Applicant feels that these locking means are so well known by those of ordinary skill in the art and thus needs no illustration, Applicant is complying with Examiner's requirement by submitting a new drawing sheet containing figures FIG. 1f, 1g, and 1h. This locking means was fully discussed and described in the specification as filed in paragraph [0024] reprinted below, so no new matter is added.

**[0024]** As is well-known in the art, each of the sockets or receptacles and the parts of the light sources means that are inserted into the sockets may be fitted with a lock mechanism. There are many lock mechanisms, such as when the thing that is inserted has two small pimple-like features, sometimes referred to as keys that fit into "key ways" that are incised into the sides of the receptacles to receive the keys. The key ways are usually curved where the curve accepts the keys as the keys are rotated as the thing that is inserted into the receptacle is rotated. The curvature of the key ways also provides a lock into which the keys are firmly positioned. The locking mechanism may also comprise a spring mechanism, a push button mechanism, or other locking means.

As the introduction of this drawing sheet changes the numbering of the drawings, replacement sheets are being submitted to account for this change. The new sheet and the replacement sheets are placed in the Appendix of this paper.

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS****Amendments to the Specification**

As the errors described by Examiner do not appear on Applicant's copies (hard and electronic) of the Application as filed, Applicant is submitted a duplicate copy of the Application as filed and as explained to Examiner over the telephone.

**Claim Objections:**

Appropriate corrections are made:

1. Although Claim 2 has been cancelled, the amendment to correct the missing of the word "one" which was inadvertently spelled "on" has been made in the new Claims 21, 23, and 24 which are Claims 8, 10, and 12 respectively, each incorporating Claim 2.
  
2. This is another perplexing instance of where Applicant's copy, in particular that electronic copy with which I am now working, does not show the letter "e" missing from the word "means." Applicant is choosing not to make a change where Applicant cannot see an error. If Examiner disagrees with this choice of action please advise Applicant accordingly.

**ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER**

1. New Claim 21 is objected to Claim 8 including all the limitations of base Claim 1 and all intervening Claims 2 and 3.
2. New Claim 22 new is objected to Claim 10 including all the limitations of base Claim 1 and all intervening Claims 2, 3, 8, and 9.
3. New Claim 23 is objected to Claim 12 including all the limitations of base Claim 1 and all intervening Claims 2, 3, and 8.

**CONCLUSION**

The Prior art made of record and not relied upon was considered.

In view of the objected to Claims 8, 10, and 12 rewritten as required and other requirements fulfilled to the best of Applicant's ability, Applicant believes that all of the claims of the Application are now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, favorable consideration of the present application if respectfully requested so that it may timely pass to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

For Walter E. Pipo, Applicant



Patricia M. Costanzo  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 48,809  
December 21, 2005

2960 Bowen Road  
Elma, NY 14059  
Telephone: 716-652-2380  
Facsimile: 716-652-8868  
[law@patcostanzo.com](mailto:law@patcostanzo.com)